THE GAMES

Bingo


For the eighth consecutive year, wagering on bingo in Nebraska declined. Bingo gross receipts for the reporting period were \$17,641,173, bingo's lowest wagering total in 14 years. Bingo gross receipts were down 6.98 percent from the previous reporting period.

Class I bingo receipts at \$4,564,296 were down this reporting period by 13.57 percent from the previous reporting period. A decrease also occurred in the number of organizations holding a Class I bingo license (161 this period as compared to 172 last year). Class II bingo receipts for the reporting period totaled \$13,076,877, a decrease of 4.4 percent from the previous reporting period. This contrasts with a slight increase in the number of licensees for Class II bingo (33 this period as compared to 32 last year). Although bingo suffered a 10.76 percent decrease in attendance when compared to last year, the average player spend actually increased from \$20.90 to \$21.78.

Both Class I and Class II licensees showed a decrease in bingo expenses this reporting period when compared to last year. Both Class I and Class II licensees also had a decrease in prizes paid. Perhaps the most significant statistic to note in the bingo area during this reporting period is that for the third time since the Charitable Gaming Division started publishing annual reports (1990), Class II bingo actually showed a net profit. (Typically bingo acts as a loss leader for an organization's pickle card sales at the bingo occasion.) Both Class I and Class II bingo showed a decrease in actual income this reporting period as compared to last year. Class I and Class II both also experienced a decrease in net profit percentage of bingo gross receipts. The Class I bingo profit percentage decreased from 15.54 percent last year to 14.38 percent this year and Class II bingo profit percentage decreased from 1.19 percent to 1.06 percent this year. A decrease in taxes paid for both Class I and Class II bingo licensees is reflected in the pie charts below. This is the second annual report without any local bingo tax on these receipts due to a legislative change effective January 1, 1999, which eliminated the local bingo tax of 1 percent of the gross receipts.

Although the figures on this page do not include attendance, wagering, prizes, or expenses for Special Event Bingo, it is noteworthy to mention its existence. Special Event Bingo is a charitable gaming option that became available in October of 1994 and which allows an organization to forego the requirement of obtaining a regular bingo license if it wants to conduct bingo only once or twice a year at a special function, such as a county fair or school fun night. As organizations have become more familiar with Special Event Bingo, many have dropped their Class I bingo licenses, obtaining a Special Event Bingo permit instead. Special Event Bingo is exempt from the tax, record keeping, and reporting requirements in place for Class I and Class II bingo licensees. The number of Special Event Bingo permits issued during this reporting period, 92, was about 30 percent less than the number issued last year, but over 48 percent more than issued in the preceding year.

	CLASS I	CLASS II	TOTAL
Bingo Gross Receipts	. \$4,564,296	\$13,076,877	\$17,641,173
Prizes	3,469,806	9,655,867	13,125,673
Expenses	301,218	2,889,860	3,191,078
Taxes	136,928	392,306	529,234
Profit	\$ 656,344	\$ 138,844	\$ 795,188



Number of Occasions Conducted	11,700
Attendance Reported	809,890
Average Player Spend Per Occasion	\$21.78*

^{*} Does not include player spend on pickle cards sold at the bingo occasions.

Pickle Cards

As has been the case for the past six reporting periods, total pickle card sales in Nebraska declined during this reporting period, totaling \$71,391,519. This is a 13.85 percent decrease compared to the previous reporting period, and marked the third consecutive year since 1986 that pickle card sales failed to reach \$100,000,000. Overall, pickle card sales are down 59.75 percent from their peak during the 1994 reporting period when sales hit \$177,359,919. Conjointly, the number of pickle card units sold during the reporting period also declined (down 12.92 percent) with only 34,755 units reported sold as compared to 39,910 for the previous reporting period.


Class I licensees, those selling pickle cards only at their designated premises (club facility) and bingo occasions, reported a decrease in sales of 13.36 percent, falling from \$16,002,976 last year to \$13,864,233 this year. For the same period, Class II licensees, those selling primarily through pickle card operators, had a similar decrease in sales of 13.96 percent, dropping from \$66,863,910 last year to \$57,527,286 this year. The number of organizations licensed to conduct a lottery by the sale of pickle cards also decreased again this reporting period (down from 623 to 603), with decreases in both Class I and Class II licensees.

A majority of the pickle cards sold in Nebraska continue to be through pickle card operators (see pie chart on the right below), as evidenced by the increase in that percentage this reporting period (up from 71.4 percent to 74 percent). For the sixth consecutive year, the number of licensed pickle card operators continued to drop, going from 1,410 last year to 1,354 this year. The number of pickle card dispensing devices registered during the reporting period also declined from last year, dropping by 7.79 percent (1,528 devices registered this period as compared to 1,657 for the same period last year).

No significant changes were seen this reporting period as compared to last with respect to the percentage distribution of pickle card gross proceeds for both Class I and Class II licensees (see pair of pie charts on the left below).

	CLASS I	CLASS II	TOTAL
Pickle Card Gross Proceeds \$	13,864,233	\$57,527,286	\$71,391,519
Prizes	10,325,447	43,681,095	54,006,542
Operator Commissions	N/A	3,749,927	3,749,927
Expenses	798,439	2,746,002	3,544,441
Taxes	352,118	1,384,619	1,736,737
Profit \$	2,388,229	\$ 5,965,643	\$ 8,353,872

Class II



Pickle Card Dispensing Devices Registered	1,	,528
Number of Pickle Card Units Sold		,755

^{*} The statutory pickle card tax rate is ten percent of definite profit, which translates to approximately 2.5 percent of gross proceeds.

^{**} The statutory maximum allowed for a pickle card operator commission is 30 percent of definite profit, which translates to approximately 7 percent of gross proceeds.

Lotteries and Raffles

Lottery/raffle gross proceeds have reached a new high at \$4,238,281. The lottery/raffle combined gross proceeds represent an increase of more than 11 percent when compared to the same period last year. Last year's proceeds had also set a new peak at \$3,811,149 or a 10 percent increase over the previous period. In the five prior periods (the years ended between 1995 and 1999), gross proceeds had held fairly steady between the 3 and 3.5 million dollar mark. Separating the two activities, the sale of lottery tickets (primarily cash prize drawing) increased 10.9 percent while the sale of raffle tickets (primarily merchandise prize drawing) jumped 12 percent. We caution, however, that due to the relatively small volume of lottery/raffle activity in comparison to the other charitable gaming activities, one or two large lotteries or raffles per reporting period can have a significant impact on the percent of increase or decrease for this program.


The total number of lottery/raffle licensees increased by more than 22 percent during this reporting period (243 this period compared to 199 last year). Most of the increase in licenses may be attributable to increased compliance with licensing requirements through enhanced educational efforts of the organizations that are subject to licensing.


A more in-depth analysis of lottery versus raffle activity was completed again this year by the Charitable Gaming Division, resulting in the pie chart on the right being included on this page for the third time, illustrating the percentage of dollars spent on lottery versus raffle tickets. This pie chart clearly demonstrates, at least with respect to licensed lotteries and raffles, drawings for cash or primarily cash prizes are far more prevalent than drawings for merchandise prizes.

Some shifts in the percentage distributions of the lottery/raffle gross proceeds were seen this reporting period, as illustrated in the pie chart on the left below. The prizes paid remained the same as reported for the previous year at 37 percent. Expenses decreased from 6 percent last year to 3 percent this year, while the profits showed a corresponding increase from 55 percent last year to 58 percent this year.

It should be noted that the figures on this page represent only lotteries with gross proceeds (ticket sales) exceeding \$1,000 and raffles with gross proceeds exceeding \$5,000. Nonprofit organizations conducting lotteries or raffles with gross proceeds equal to or below these thresholds are not required to file reports with the Department or pay any lottery/raffle tax. A significant number of lotteries and raffles conducted in Nebraska fall within the non-reportable category.

Lottery Gross Proceeds	\$3,070,211
Raffle Gross Proceeds	1,168,070
Total Gross Proceeds	\$4,238,281
Prizes	
Expenses	
Total Taxes	84,758
Profit	\$2,458,203





^{*} Actual prizes awarded equaled 47 percent of the total gross proceeds; however, of this 47 percent, 10 percent of the prizes awarded were donated prizes.

County/City Lotteries


County/city lottery (keno) continued the rebound started two years ago, showing a 3.98 percent increase over the previous reporting period. Gross wagering at \$166,051,868 represented an increase of almost 6.4 million dollars. A large portion of this increased wagering is attributable to those communities which experienced a notable increase in wagering from that reported in the prior year. The largest increases were reported by, in descending order of the dollar amount of their increase, Omaha, Bellevue, Lincoln, Ralston, North Platte, La Vista, Beatrice, Hall County, Sarpy County, and Crete. A portion of this increase is also due to four new communities that were licensed to start conducting county/city lottery activity during the reporting period (the counties of Chase and Hitchcock and the villages of Barneston and Eustis). Despite this year's repeated positive news, overall county/city lottery wagering is still down 20.42 percent from its peak wagering of \$208,670,897 during the 1995 reporting period.

Communities and lottery operators are continuing to evaluate the performance of their lotteries and considering enhancements which may restore some of the handle lost to other forms of gambling. Progressive jackpots, larger prizes, and other game enhancements to attract increased play are more prevalent. Some live ball draw operations are opting for the automated ball draw method of winning number selection or are using a random generator during their slower times in order to reduce labor costs. There continue to be concerns expressed about the statutory five-minute requirement between games, the prohibition against player activation of keno equipment, and the requirement for a paper ticket.

Ten communities held an election on the establishment of a lottery during the reporting period as compared to six for the same period last year. Of these communities, nine approved the operation of a lottery within their jurisdictions and one defeated the measure (see pages 12 and 13 of this report for election status details). The number of communities licensed to conduct a lottery increased to 136 during the reporting period. There were 126 licensed for the same period last year, although there were six communities that were inactive. Of the 136 communities licensed for the current period, it should be noted that eight communities were not operating their lotteries at the close of this reporting period (see pages 12 and 13 for licensed but inactive lotteries).

Again, there was little change seen this reporting period as compared to last with respect to the distribution of county/city lottery gross proceeds (see pie chart below). The percent of prizes paid showed a slight increase from 75.2 percent to 75.6 percent, as did expenses increasing from 13.4 to 13.6 percent. Concurrently, the communities saw a decrease in their profits from 9.4 to 8.8 percent.

County/City Lottery Gross Proceeds	\$166,051,868
Prizes	125,579,228
Lottery Operator Commissions	\$21,038,750
Other Expenses	\$ 1,568,397
Total Expenses	
Taxes	
Profit	\$ 14,544,457



1 1

COUNTY/CITY LOTTERY ELECTION AND LICENSING STATUS

as of June 30, 2001

Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status	Holding Election	Result of Election	License Issued	Status
Ainsworth	Defeated			Genoa	Affirmative		(b)
Albion Alda	Defeated		(b)	Gering Goehner	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes	Active (b)
Alliance	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gosper County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Anselmo	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Grafton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Arlington	Defeated	103	madiive (d)	Grant	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Arthur County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Greeley	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Ashland	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Greenwood	Affirmative		(c)
Auburn	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Gretna	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Aurora	Defeated			Gurley	Affirmative		(b)
Avoca	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Hadar	Defeated		(h)
Banner County Barneston	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes	(b) Active	Haigler Hall County	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes	(b) Active
Battle Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hardy	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bayard	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Harlan County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beatrice	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Hartington	Affirmative		Inactive (c
Beaver City	Affirmative		(b)	Hastings	Affirmative	Yes	Active `
Beaver Crossing	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (a)	Hay Springs	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bee	Affirmative		(b)	Hebron	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Beemer	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hemingford	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Belgrade	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Hershey	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bellevue	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Hickman	Defeated	Vaa	A ativa
Belvidere Benedict	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Hitchcock County Holbrook	Affirmative	Yes Yes	Active (a
Benedict Benkelman	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes	Active Inactive (c)	Holbrook Holdrege	Affirmative Affirmative	yes Yes	Active (a Active
Benkelman Bennington	Affirmative	Yes	Active (c)	Holarege	Affirmative	162	(c)
Bennington Bertrand	Affirmative	168	(c)	Hooper	Affirmative		(b)
Blair	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Howells	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bloomfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Hubbell	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Bloomington	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Humboldt	Affirmative		(b)
Blue Hill	Affirmative		(b)	Humphrey	Affirmative		(b)
Blue Springs	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Imperial	Affirmative		(b)
Brady	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Indianola	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brewster	Affirmative		(b)	Inglewood	Affirmative	(Part of Fremont)	Active
Bridgeport	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Kearney	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brown County	Defeated			Keith County	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Brownville	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Kenesaw Kennard	Defeated		(0)
Buffalo County Burr	Defeated		(b)	Kilgore	Affirmative Affirmative		(a) (c)
Durr Cambridge	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes	(b) Inactive (c)	Kimball	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cedar Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Lancaster County	Affirmative	(Part of Lincoln)	Active
Central City	Defeated	165	Active (a)	LaVista	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a
Chadron	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lewellen	Affirmative	100	(b)
Chappell	Affirmative		(b)	Lincoln	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Chase County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lincoln County		(Part of North Platte)	
Cheyenne County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lindsay	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a
Clarkson	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Lodgepole	Affirmative		(c)
Columbus	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Long Pine	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cortland	Affirmative		(b)	Lorton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Cozad	Defeated		(1-)	Louisville	Affirmative	Vaa	(b)
Craig	Affirmative	Vaa	(b)	Loup City Lyman	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes Yes	Active (a Active
Crawford Creston	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes	Active Inactive (a)	Madison	Defeated	162	Active
Crete	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Madrid	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Dakota City		part of S. Sioux City		Mason City	Affirmative	103	(c)
David City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Maywood	Affirmative		Inactive (c
Dawson County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	McCook	Affirmative		Inactive (c
enton	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	McGrew	Affirmative	Yes	Active `
Deuel County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Melbeta	Affirmative		(b)
odge	Affirmative		(c)	Memphis	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c
odge County	Affirmative		(b)	Merriman	Defeated		A .:
Ooniphan	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Milligan	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Oouglas County	Affirmative	(Part of Omaha)	Active (a)	Minatare	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c
Duncan	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Minden Monroe	Defeated Affirmative		(h)
Dwight	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Morrill	Affirmative		(b)
agle Ikhorn	Affirmative Affirmative	Yes Yes	Active Active (a)	Morse Bluff	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a
Elm Creek	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Mullen	Affirmative	100	(a)
Elwood	Affirmative	103	(b)	Naponee	Defeated		(∽)
Ericson	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Nebraska City	Defeated		
ustis	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Nehawka	Affirmative	Yes	Active
xeter	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Neligh	Affirmative	Yes	Active
airbury	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Niobrara	Affirmative		(c)
airfield	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Norfolk	Defeated		
airmont	Affirmative		(b)	North Bend	Affirmative	Yes	Active
alls City	Defeated			North Platte	Affirmative	Yes	Active
illmore County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Odell	Affirmative		(b)
ort Calhoun	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Omaha	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a
ranklin	Affirmative	Yes	Active	O'Neill	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Fremont	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Ong	Affirmative		Inactive (c
Fullerton	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Ord	Defeated		
Gage County	Affirmative		(b)	Osceola	Defeated		
Geneva	Defeated			Oshkosh	Affirmative		(c)

Holding	Result of	License		Holding	Result of	License	
Election	Election	Issued	Status	Election	Election	Issued	Status
Osmond	Defeated			South Sioux City	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)
Otoe	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Spalding	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Overton	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Sprague	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Oxford	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Springfield	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Palisade	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Stanton	Affirmative		(b)
Palmer	Defeated			Sterling	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Palmyra	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Stuart	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Papillion	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Superior	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Pawnee City	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Sutherland	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Peru	Affirmative		(b)	Sutton	Defeated		
Platte Center	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Syracuse	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Plattsmouth	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Talmage	Affirmative		(b)
Pleasant Dale	Affirmative		Inactive (c)	Tecumseh	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Polk	Defeated			Terrytown	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Potter	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Trenton	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Prague	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Unadilla	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Ralston	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Utica	Defeated		
Randolph	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Valentine	Defeated		
Ravenna	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Valley	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Raymond	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Valley County	Affirmative		(a)
Roca	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Valparaiso	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Rulo	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Verdigre	Affirmative		(c)
Rushville	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Verdon	Affirmative		(b)
St. Edward	Affirmative		(a)	Waco	Affirmative	Yes	Active
St. Paul	Affirmative		(c)	Wahoo	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Sargent	Affirmative	.,	(b)	Wallace	Affirmative		(b)
Sarpy County	Affirmative	Yes	Active	Walthill	Affirmative		Inactive (a)
Schuyler	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Washington County	Affirmative	.,	(b)
Scotts Bluff County	Affirmative	Yes	Active (a)	Waterloo	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Scribner	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Wauneta	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Seward	Defeated			Waverly	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Seward County	Defeated		41.	Wayne	Affirmative		(a)
Shelby	Affirmative	V	(b)	West Point	Defeated		A
Shelton	Affirmative	Yes	Inactive (c)	Wilber	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Sidney	Defeated		In = = +1: (-)	Wisner	Defeated	V	A -45
Silver Creek	Affirmative		Inactive (a)	Wolbach	Affirmative	Yes	Active
Smithfield	Affirmative		(b)	Wymore	Defeated	V	A -45
Snyder Sauth Band	Affirmative		(a)	Wynot	Affirmative	Yes	Active
South Bend	Affirmative		(b)	Yutan	Affirmative	Yes	Active

(a) Election valid anytime

(b) Election expired (c) Election subject to expiration

LOTTERIES LICENSED BY COUNTY

as of June 30, 2001

Adams	Dakota	Gosper	Lincoln	Sarpy (cont.)
City of Hastings	City of Dakota City	Gosper County	Village of Brady	City of Papillion
Antelope	City of South Sioux City	Greeley	Village of Hershey	Sarpy County
City of Neligh	Dawes	Village of Greeley	Lincoln County	City of Springfield
Arthur	City of Chadron	Village of Spalding	City of North Platte	Saunders
Arthur County	City of Crawford	Village of Wolbach	Village of Sutherland	City of Ashland
Box Butte	Dawson	Hall	Madison	Village of Memphis*
City of Alliance	Dawson County	Village of Doniphan	City of Battle Creek	Village of Morse Bluff
Village of Hemingford	Village of Overton*	Hall County	Morrill	Village of Prague
Brown	Deuel	Harlan	City of Bayard	Village of Valpariso
City of Long Pine	Deuel County	Harlan County	Nance	City of Wahoo
Buffalo	Dodge	Hitchcock	Village of Belgrade*	City of Yutan
Village of Elm Creek	City of Fremont	Hitchcock County	Nemaha	Scotts Bluff
City of Kearney	Village of Inglewood	Village of Palisade	City of Auburn	City of Gering
Village of Shelton*	City of North Bend	Village of Trenton	Nuckolls	Village of Lyman
Butler	Douglas	Holt	Village of Hardy	Village of McGrew
City of David City	City of Bennington	City of O'Neill	City of Superior	City of Minatare*
Village of Dwight	Douglas County	Village of Stuart	Otoe	Scotts Bluff County
Cass	City of Elkhorn	Howard	Village of Lorton	Village of Terrytown
Village of Cedar Creek	City of Omaha City of Ralston	City of St. Paul	Village of Palmyra	Seward
Village of Eagle	City of Valley	Jefferson	City of Syracuse	Village of Beaver
Village of Nehawka	Village of Waterloo	City of Fairbury	Village of Unadilla	Crossing*
City of Plattsmouth	Filmore	Johnson	Perkins	Sheridan
Cedar	Village of Exeter	Village of Sterling	City of Grant	City of Hay Springs
City of Randolph	Fillmore County	City of Tecumseh	Village of Madrid	Sherman
Village of Wynot	Village of Grafton	Keith	Phelps	City of Loup City
Chase	Village of Milligan	Keith County	City of Holdrege	Thayer
Chase County	Franklin	Kimball	Platte	City of Belvidere
Village of Wauneta	Village of Bloomington	City of Kimball	City of Columbus	City of Hebron
Cheyenne	City of Franklin*	Knox	Village of Duncan	Village of Hubbell
Cheyenne County	Frontier	City of Bloomfield	Village of Lindsay	Washington
Village of Potter	Village of Eustis	Lancaster	Red Willow	City of Blair
Colfax	Furnas	Village of Denton	City of Indianola	City of Fort Calhoun
City of Clarkson	City of Cambridge*	Lancaster County	Saline	Wheeler
Village of Howells	Village of Holbrook	City of Lincoln	City of Crete	Village of Ericson
City of Schuyler	Village of Oxford	Village of Raymond	City of Wilber	York
Cuming	Ğage	Village of Roca	Sarpy	Village of Benedict
Village of Beemer	Village of Barneston	Village of Sprague	City of Bellevue	Village of Waco
Custer	City of Beatrice	City of Waverly	City of Gretna	
Village of Anselmo*	City of Blue Springs		City of LaVista	
* 1 '				

^{*} Licensed but inactive